1		
2		
3	HAMPED CELA	THE DISTRICT COURT
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
5	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
6	* * *	
7	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,))
8	Plaintiff,) 2:07-cr-0014-JCM-RJJ
9	vs))
10	RENE OSWALD COBAR,))
11	Defendant,.	ORDER
12)
13	Presently before the court is defendant Rene Oswald Cobar's ex parte motion for a new trial	
14	Doc. 367.	
15	The local rules of practice for this district provide that, "All ex parte motions, application	
16	or requests shall contain a statement showing good cause why the matter was submitted to the cour	
17	without notice to all parties" LCR 47-2.	
18	Here, defendant has failed to provide this court an explanation for his choice to proceed ex-	
	parte. Further, this court sees no reason why the motion should be adjudicated without notice to the	
	government	
20	Accordingly,	
21	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that defendant's motion for new	
22	trial (doc. #367) be and the same hereby is DENIED	
23	DATED July 5, 2012.	
24	DiffED 341y 3, 2012.	
25		Xellus C. Mahan
26	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
27		
28		